The Deformation Method for Grid Adaptation

James Grisham¹, Nandakumar Vijayakumar¹, Ben Hildebrand² Chen Xi^2

¹Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering University of Texas at Arlington

²Department of Mathematics University of Texas at Arlington

MATH 5392 Final Presentation, May 2014

Outline



- Motivation
- The process
- Goals
- 2 Computational Tools
 - Pointwise
 - Fluent
 - Deformation method code
- Implementation
 - Test case
 - Detailed process
 - Results



Motivation The process Goals

Why adapt?

- For numerical solution of partial differential equations, an adequate discretization (or mesh) is required.
- Using adaptive grids, the quality and accuracy of the numerical solution can be improved.



Figure: Contours of Mach number.

Why use the deformation method?

- Many moving grid techniques are capable of producing negative volumes (e.g., linear elasticity).
- The deformation method is mathematically rigorous, unlike the physics-based analogies.
- It has been mathematically proven that the deformation method will not produce negative cell volumes. However, there are always issues with the numerics.
- The changes in the point distribution are propagated throughout the entire mesh.

Motivation The process Goals

Explanation of the adaptation process

- Generate geometry and mesh.
- Perform flow solve.
- Adapt grid.
- Re-run flow solve to check quality of deformed grid.



Motivation The process Goals

Deformation method process

• Form monitor function. In this case,

$$f_0(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + C|\nabla p(\mathbf{x})|} \tag{1}$$

where C is a constant that controls the intensity of the adaption.

• Make the monitor function a function of time.

$$f(\mathbf{x},t) = 1 - t + t f_0(\mathbf{x})$$
 (2)

where $0 \le t \le 1$.

Motivation The process Goals

Deformation method process, cont.

• Solve Poisson's equation with Neumann BCs $(\nabla w \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = 0)$.

$$\nabla^2 w = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{f(\mathbf{x}, t)} \right)$$
(3)

• Compute node velocities (V).

$$\mathbf{u} = \nabla w \tag{4}$$

and

$$\mathbf{V} = f(\mathbf{x}, t) \,\mathbf{u} = f(\mathbf{x}, t) (\nabla w) \tag{5}$$

• Solve ODEs for new node coordinates.

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{V} \tag{6}$$

Motivation The process Goals



- Implement the deformation method for 2D structured grids.
- Perform inviscid and viscous flow solves to use as baseline data for adaptation.
- Adapt to different flow features in different speed regimes (i.e., boundary layers and shock waves).
- Compare deformation-based adaptation with ANSYS Fluent's local refinement method.

Motivation The process Goals

Responsibilities

- James Grisham
 - Code development.
 - Grid generation.
- Nandakumar Vijayakumar
 - Grid generation.
 - CFD simulations.
 - Fluent adaptation.

- Ben Hildebrand
 - Poisson solver.
 - RK2 ODE solver using MATLAB.
 - Understanding the math.
- Chen Xi
 - Understanding the math.

Pointwise Fluent Deformation method code

Grid generation

- A series of Pointwise Glyph script were developed to generate viscous and inviscid O- and C-grid topologies for an airfoil.
- Glyph script was also developed to generate grid for a supersonic duct case.
- Grids were exported in CGNS (CFD General Notation System) format.

Pointwise Fluent Deformation method code

Flow solve



- ANSYS Fluent was used to perform inviscid and viscous flow solves.
- Plans to use a NASA Langley-developed flow solver named CFL3D in the near future.

Pointwise Fluent Deformation method code

Deformation method code

- General code was developed using C++.
- Reads and writes CGNS-formatted grid and solution files.
- Object-oriented approach with a general C++ class that contains all the necessary methods.
- OOP allowed for stand-alone testing of each method.

Test case Detailed process Results

(日) (同) (三) (三)

э

Example test case

- Supersonic duct case was used to test the code.
- *M* = 3.5



Computational Tools Implementation Wrapping up

Test case

Initial Mesh

• 150×80 structured grid







Figure: Initial grid close-up. Image: A = A

Test case Detailed process Results

Fluent output

- Interesting flow features.
- Reflecting oblique shocks.
- Shock-boundary layer interaction.



Figure: Contours of static pressure.

A 10

Test case Detailed process Results

Importing grid and data

- C++ code is linked with the CGNS libraries so that binary files can be read and written.
- Majority of pre-processing codes, flow solvers, and post-processing codes are capable of reading and writing CGNS files.
- Fluent writes flow solve data in an unstructured format.
- Method is included in general class that re-orders the grid one time as a pre-processing step.

Test case Detailed process Results

Computing gradient of pressure

- Gradient of pressure must be computed to form the monitor function given by Eq.(1).
- Accomplished using the finite difference method with the following inverse transformation for nonuniform grids:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x(\xi,\eta) & (7a) \\ y &= y(\xi,\eta) & (7b) \end{aligned}$$

• Following metrics are computed:

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi}, \ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta}, \ \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi}, \ \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta}$$

Test case Detailed process Results

Computing gradient of pressure, cont.

• Jacobian determinant is then computed:

$$J = \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(\xi, \eta)} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta} \end{vmatrix}$$
(8)

• Partial derivative of some variable ϕ with respect to x is then given by

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{J} \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} \right)$$
(9)

Test case Detailed process Results

Gradient results



Figure: Contours of *p*.

Figure: Contours of $|\nabla p|$.

< 4 → < Ξ

э

Test case Detailed process Results

Forming monitor function

 Monitor function is formed as follows:

$$f_{i,j} = rac{1}{1 + C |
abla p_{i,j}|}$$
 (10)

- Monitor function is small where gradients are large.
- Used to control cell size.



Figure: Contours of the monitor function.

Test case Detailed process Results

Transformations

- Process can be thought about using three grids: the original nonuniform grid in the physical plane, a uniform grid in the computational plane that will be deformed, and a uniform grid in the computational plane that remains unchanged.
- Monitor function is computed on the nonuniform grid.
- Deformation takes place in the computational plane.





Test case Detailed process Results

Monitor function on transformed grid



Figure: Monitor function in the computational plane.

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 目

Test case Detailed process Results

Monitor function as a function of time

Choose number of timesteps and loop over time.

$$\nabla^2 w = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{f}\right)$$

• Monitor function must be evaluated at two times so that a finite difference representation of the time derivative can be computed.

$$f^n = 1 + t^n - t^n f_0$$
 (11a)

$$f^{n+1} = 1 + t^{n+1} - t^{n+1} f_0$$
 (11b)

• Monitor functions at each time must then be normalized on the uniform grid.

Test case Detailed process Results

Normalization

• The monitor functions at each time are normalized so that the sum of each cell area is equal to the total area of the domain as follows:

$$F(x,y,t) = \frac{\iint \frac{1}{f(x,y,t)} \, dx \, dy}{A} \, f(x,y,t) \qquad (12)$$

where F is the normalized monitor function.

• Integral is accomplished using first-order accurate Gaussian quadrature.

Test case Detailed process Results

Forming RHS of Poisson's equation

Now, the RHS of Poisson's equation can be formed using first-order accurate forward differencing.

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{1}{f}\right) \approx -\frac{\frac{1}{F^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{F^n}}{\Delta t}$$
(13)

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲

Next, Poisson's equation must be solved on the uniform grid.

Test case Detailed process Results

Solution of Poisson's equation

• Neumann boundary conditions are enforced using ghost nodes.

$$\nabla \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{n}}} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{0}} \tag{14}$$

- Solution is accomplished using SOR.
- General difference equation is given by

$$w_{i,j}^{k+1} = \frac{1}{4} \left(w_{i+1,j}^k + w_{i-1,j}^{k+1} + w_{i,j+1}^k + w_{i,j-1}^{k+1} - h^2 g_{i,j} \right)$$
(15)

$$w_{i,j}^{k+1} = w_{i,j}^k + \omega (w_{i,j}^{k+1} - w_{i,j}^k)$$
(16)

where k is the iteration level, ω is the relaxation parameter, and $g_{i,j}$ is the RHS of the original Poisson equation.

Test case Detailed process Results

Solution of ODEs

• Node velocities are determined as follows:

$$\mathbf{u} = \nabla w \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = V_x = F^n u_x \tag{18a}$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = V_y = F^n u_y \tag{18b}$$

• Solution accomplished using Euler's method:

$$x^{n+1} = x^n + V_x \Delta t \tag{19a}$$

$$y^{n+1} = y^n + V_y \Delta t \tag{19b}$$

< □ > < □ >

• Continue looping until t = 1.

Test case Detailed process Results

Transforming back to physical domain

- Uniform grid in computational domain has been deformed.
- Deformed grid must be transformed back to the physical domain.
- Accomplished by interpolating the transformation.



Figure: Deformed grid in the computational plane.

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 目

Test case Detailed process Results

Transforming back to physical domain, cont.



Figure: Deformed grid in the computational plane.

Figure: Deformed grid in the physical plane.

A > 4

Test case Detailed process Results

Comparison between initial and deformed



Test case Detailed process **Results**

Comparison between initial and deformed, cont.



Figure: Contours of static pressure and the initial mesh.



Figure: Contours of static pressure and the deformed mesh.

< /□ > < 三

Summary

- A general C++ code was developed that uses the deformation method to adapt 2D, structured grids.
- Code is extensible, easily modifiable and could easily be applied to image processing.
- Code reads and writes CGNS files that are compatible with most CFD software solutions.
- Deformation method guarantees positive cell volumes (dependent upon numerics).

Potential issues

- Deformation method creates skewed cells that may cause issues with the flow solver.
- Could solve another elliptic equation to improve the skewness as a post-processing step.
- Even easier, could load the grid into Pointwise and use their elliptic smoothing capabilities.



Figure: Close-up of deformed grid near shock.

- □ → - ◆ 三

Next steps

- Actually run the deformed grid in Fluent.
- Apply the code to a C-grid and O-grid (Fluent is causing an issue).
- Develop code that adapts unstructured grids using FEM.
- Develop code for 2D moving meshes and compare with spring analogy code.
- Use the current code in conjunction with CFL3D to study shock-boundary layer interation.

Questions?

- 4 回 > - 4 回 > - 4 回 >

æ

Grisham & Vijayakumar & Hildebrand & Xi Deformation Method